



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,887	02/04/2004	Thor Itt Chiam	FLEX-00300	5368
28960	7590	11/16/2006	EXAMINER	
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			STEIN, JULIE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/772,887	Applicant(s) CHIAM ET AL.	
	Examiner Julie E. Stein, Esq.	Art Unit 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 October 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-14 and 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0064757 to Yamadera et al.

Yamadera discloses all the elements of independent claim 1, including a menu-driven electronic device (Figure 1) comprising:

- a. a display configured to selectively display one of a plurality of menus (Figure 1, element 10), including a main menu and a sub-menu (Figures 7A to 7D) and
- b. a two-dimensional navigation key (Figure 1, element 4) configured to select one of a plurality of main menu items of the main menu (paragraphs 42 and 59 to 63 and 76 to 82) and to select a sub-menu item of the sub-menu associated with a selected main menu item (Id.).

The rejection of claim 1 is hereby incorporated. Yamadera also discloses all the elements of independent claim 18, including a menu-driven telecommunications device (Figure 1) comprising:

Art Unit: 2617

a. a display configured to selectively display one of a plurality of menus (Figure 1, element 10), including a main menu and a sub-menu (Figures 7A to 7D), and

b. a two-dimensional navigation key (Figure 1, element 4) configured to select one of a plurality of main menu items of the main menu (paragraphs 42, 59 to 63, and 76 to 82) and to select a sub-menu item of the sub-menu associated with a selected main menu item (Id.), wherein the device displays a plurality of sub-menu items (Id.).

The rejections of claims 1 and 18 are hereby incorporated. Yamadera also discloses all the elements of independent claim 23, including a menu-driven telecommunications device (Figure 1) comprising:

a. a display configured to selectively display one of a plurality of menus (Figure 1, element 10), including a main menu and a first sub-menu (Figures 7a to 7D), and

b. a two-dimensional navigation key (Figure 1, element 4) configured to select one of a plurality of main menu items of the main menu (paragraphs 42, 59 to 63 and 76 to 82) and to select a first sub-menu item of the first sub-menu associated with a selected main menu item (Id.), and further to select a second sub-menu item of the second sub-menu associated with the selected main menu item (Id.).

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 2, including wherein at least a portion of the plurality of main menu items is displayed (Figure 1), and further wherein both the main menu and the sub-menu can be accessed by maintaining contact with the two-dimensional key. See paragraphs 60 and 62, it would be inherent that a user could navigate through the menus by maintaining contact with the navigation keys.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 3, including wherein the device is configured to allow navigation through the plurality of menus by using the two-dimensional navigation key. *Id.*

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 4, including wherein the device is configured to allow navigation through the plurality of menus while maintaining tactile contact with the two-dimensional navigation key. See claim 2 and 3.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 5, including wherein the device is configured to allow toggling among the at least two control levels by a single access of the two-dimensional navigation key. See paragraphs 60 to 63.

Yamadera discloses all the elements of dependent claim 6, including wherein the device is configured to allow toggling between the main menu and one of the plurality of main menu items by using a first direction of the two-dimensional navigation key and to allow toggling between the selected main menu item and the sub-menu associated with the selected main menu item by using a second direction of the two-dimensional navigation key. See e.g. paragraph 64.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 7, including wherein the device is configured to allow scrolling among at least two control levels by a single access of the two-dimensional navigation key. See paragraphs 60 to 64.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 8, including wherein the device is configured to display the selected main menu item simultaneously with the sub-menu associated with the selected main menu item. See Figure 7B and its corresponding description.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 9, including wherein the device is configured to display the selected main menu item simultaneously with a plurality of sub-menu items associated with the selected main menu item. See Figure 7B and its corresponding description.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 10, including wherein the plurality of menus are organized in a menu tree. See Figure 2.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 11, including wherein the main menu further comprises a main menu item icon representing a main menu item. See Figure 7A and its corresponding description.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 12, including wherein the device is configured to display the main menu item icon to provide a visual reference to an item in the menu tree of the menu being displayed. See Figures 7A-D and their corresponding descriptions.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 13, including wherein when the device displays at least a portion of the main menu, the main menu item icon is displayed in a first appearance, and when the device displays the sub-menu, the main menu item icon is displayed in a second appearance different from the first appearance. See Figures 7A-7C and their corresponding descriptions.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 14, including wherein the first appearance differs from the second appearance by at least one of size, shape, color, highlighting, and pattern. Id.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 17, including wherein the device is a phone. See Figure 1 and its corresponding description.

Yamadera also discloses all the elements of dependent claim 19, including wherein when the device displays on the display the sub-menu associated to the selected main menu item, a main menu icon is displayed in the sub-menu to provide a visual reference to the selected main menu item in the menu tree of the menu being displayed. See Figures 7A to 7D and their corresponding descriptions.

Yamadera discloses all the elements of dependent claim 20, including wherein the plurality of menus includes a first sub-menu and a second sub-menu (Figures 7A-D and their corresponding descriptions), wherein the first sub-menu further comprises a plurality of first sub-menu items (Id.) and further wherein one of the plurality of first sub-menu items is associated to a second sub-menu (Id.).

Yamadera discloses all the elements of dependent claim 21, including wherein the second sub-menu further comprises a plurality of second sub-menus items. Figures 7A-D and their corresponding descriptions.

Yamadera discloses all the elements of dependent claim 22, including wherein a third orientation of the two-dimensional navigation key is configured to select one of the plurality of second sub-menu items. See paragraphs 77 to 81.

Yamadera discloses all the elements of dependent claim 24, including wherein the device is configured to allow scrolling between the main menu and one of the plurality of main menu items by using a first direction of the two-dimensional navigation key (paragraphs 76 to 77), to allow scrolling between the selected main menu item and

Art Unit: 2617

the first sub-menu associated with the selected main menu item by using a second direction of the two-dimensional navigation key (Id.), and further to allow scrolling between the second sub-menu associated with the selected main menu item and a second sub-menu item by using a third direction of the two-dimensional navigation key (paragraphs 78 to 79).

Smethers in view of Yamadera teaches all the elements of dependent claim 26, including wherein the device is configured to display a main menu item icon to provide a visual reference to an item in a menu tree of the menu being displayed. See Figures 7A-D.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 15 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamadera.

Yamadera also teaches all the elements of dependent claim 15, except wherein when the device displays the sub-menu, the main menu item icon is displayed in a third size different from the first size and the second size. However, see MPEP 2144, changing the size of an element of the claimed invention does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the size of the main menu icon to various sizes, including a different size than either the first or second size.

Yamadera discloses all the elements of dependent claim 25, except wherein the third direction corresponds with the first direction of the two-dimensional navigation key. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to understand that the directions used to select the first and third directions could be the same because Yamadera teaches that the first direction may be up and the third direction may be pointed in any of four directions, up, down, right, or left. See Yamadera, paragraph 78.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamadera in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,463,304 to Smethers.

Yamadera also teaches all the elements of dependent claim 16, except wherein the main menu further comprises a non-graphical listing of the plurality of sub-menu

Art Unit: 2617

items of the sub-menu associated with the selected main menu item. However, Smethers, in the same field of endeavor teaches the use of non-graphical listings in addition to icons. See e.g. Figure 3B. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use non-graphical listings in the sub-menus, for example because a listing of "content channels" is more efficient than attempting to describe them using icons, as in Smethers. See column 6, lines 35 to 45.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie E. Stein, Esq. whose telephone number is (571) 272-7897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 am-5:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JES

ABS


GEORGE ENG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER